ISCP 3201 – IS CAPSTONE PROJECT 1
PROPOSAL DEFENSE RUBRIC

Date: April 28, 2026

Approved Title: Development of a Web-based School-Based Management System with a Machine Learning Algorithm for Dasmariñas Integrated High School

Proponents: (***Listed alphabetically***)

Surname	Firstname	Course/Year	(+/-) Points
Arias	Charles Patrick	BSIS304	
Evangelio	Ryza	BSIS304	
Fornal	Julia Chloe	BSIS304	
Sernande	Denise Alia	BSIS304	

Part 1. MANUSCRIPT. The rating of each proponent per panel member shall be based on the following rubric for objective evaluation purposes:

Capstone Project Proposal Manuscript (group/team grade) 30%	Cap	Score
Chapter 1 – INTRODUCTION • Project context, purpose and description are intact and provides clear overview of the entire capstone project. • The problems are well stated and identified. • Objectives of the proposal is SMART. • Scope and limitations are clearly defined.	10	
Chapter 2 – REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE • Related literatures and studies are recent and relevant. (published within 5 years) • Provides solid background of the capstone project. • Auxiliary theories are evident. • Sources are appropriately cited and noted.	10	
Chapter 3 – METHODOLOGY • There should be comprehensive discussions on the technologies (hardware/software) involved in the research and the methods used to conduct the research. • System flow are illustrated using appropriate diagrams. • Relevant parts of methods and procedure are provided and thoroughly discussed.	10	
TOTAL	**30**	

Part 2. SOFTWARE. The proponents are obliged to finish and deliver up to 50% of the software or system.

Software Prototype (group/team grade) 30%	Cap	Score
The output should be consistent with the objectives.	15	
All major features of the software are delivered. The credit shall be based on the percentage of delivered items. (50% completion of features is required for Capstone Project1)	15	
TOTAL	**30**	

Part 3. ORAL EXAMINATION. All members of the group should be given a chance to present his/her part and must answer the question substantially and intelligently.

Oral Examination (Individual grade) 40%	Cap	Score
Comprehensiveness of the answer/ideas	30	
Contribution/support to the team	5	
Delivery/command of the english language	5	
TOTAL	**40**	

GRAND TOTAL: **(Part 1 + Part 2 + Part 3)** _____

COMMENTS / SUGGESTIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS:

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

Part 4. VERDICT. There will be three possible verdicts after the Proposal Hearing. The verdict is a unanimous decision among the three members of the Capstone Project Oral Defense Panel. Once issued, it is final and irrevocable.

ACCEPTED. The proposal is accepted with very limited comments and suggestions. Grade range: 86 – 100
ACCEPTED WITH MINOR REVISIONS. Minor revisions are necessary but they do not have to be presented in front of and checked by all panelists. Grade range: 70 – 85
ACCEPTED WITH MAJOR REVISIONS. Major revisions shall be incorporated in the final copy of the revised Project Proposal summary. These must be checked by the panelists (***reappearance***). Grade range: Below 70

Please write your **VERDICT** here:

_____

_____
Panel Member's Signature over printed name / date